arXiv:1603.08410v1 [math.PR] 28 Mar 2016

A look at perpetuities via asymptotically homogeneous in space Markov chains

Dmitry Korshunov
Lancaster University

It is shown how a natural representation of perpetuities as asymptotically homogeneous in space Markov chains allows to prove various asymptotic tail results for stable perpetuities and limit theorems for unstable ones. Some of these results are new while others essentially improve moment conditions known in the literature. Both subexponential and Cramér's cases are considered.

60H25, 60J05, 60F10, 60F05; perpetuity, stochastic difference equation, Markov chain, large deviations, subexponentiality, regular variation, Cramér's case, limit theorems

1 Introduction

Let (ξ, η) be a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\mathbb{P}\{\xi > 0\} > 0$. Let (ξ_k, η_k), $k \geq 1$, be independent copies of (ξ, η). Denote $S_0 := 0$ and $S_n := \xi_1 + \ldots + \xi_n$. If $\mathbb{E}\xi = -a \in (-\infty, 0)$ then by the strong law of large numbers, with probability 1, $-2an \leq S_n \leq -an/2$ ultimately in n, so the process

$$D_n := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \eta_k e^{S_k}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{1}$$

is stochastically bounded if and only if $\mathbb{E}\log(1 + |\eta|) < \infty$. If so, then the perpetuity

$$D_\infty := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k e^{S_k}$$

is finite with probability 1 and $D_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} D_\infty$. Stability results for more general D_n are dealt with in Vervaat [26]; the case where $\mathbb{E}\xi$ is not necessarily finite is treated by Goldie and Maller [14].

In this paper we are interested in the tail asymptotic behaviour of the distributions of D_n and D_∞. We also consider a Markov modulated perpetuity D_n and maxima

$$M_n \;:=\; \max_{k\le n} D_k. \tag{2}$$

In the context of random difference equations $R_n = B_n + A_n R_{n-1}$ with positive $A_n = e^{\xi_n}$ and $B_n = \eta_n$, the processes

$$\widetilde{D}_n \;:=\; \sum_{k=1}^{n} \eta_k e^{S_{k-1}}, \quad \widetilde{M}_n \;:=\; \max_{k\le n} \widetilde{D}_k \tag{3}$$

are of interest; in particular, the distribution of $\widetilde{D}_\infty$ represents the unique stationary distribution of the chain R_n which exists provided $\mathbb{E}\xi < 0$ and $\mathbb{E}\log(1+|\eta|) < \infty$.

See e.g. Kesten [17, Theorem 5], Goldie [12, Theorem 4.1] where power tail asymptotics for $\widetilde{D}_\infty$ is proven under the 'Cramér condition' $\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi} = 1$ for some $\beta > 0$; see also Dyszewski [8] where the case of subexponential ξ is considered. Some other cases are considered in Grey [15], Konstantinides and Mikosch [18], Goldie and Grübel [13].

The process $\widetilde{D}_n$ may be constructed as D_n with reference vector $(\xi, \eta e^{-\xi})$ instead of (ξ, η). And vice versa, the process D_n is the same as $\widetilde{D}_n$ with reference vector $(\xi, \eta e^{\xi})$. This allows to translate results obtained for D_n or $\widetilde{D}_n$ to each other.

Both perpetuities and stochastic difference equations have many important applications, among them life insurance and finance, nuclear technology, sociology, random walks and branching processes in random environments, extreme-value analysis, one-dimensional ARCH processes, etc. For particularities, we refer the reader to, for instance, Embrechts and Goldie [9], Rachev and Samorodnitsky [24] and Vervaat [26] for a comprehensive survey of the literature.

By the definition of D_n,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n+1} &= \eta_1 e^{\xi_1} + e^{\xi_1}(\eta_2 e^{\xi_2} + \ldots + \eta_{n+1} e^{\xi_2+\ldots+\xi_{n+1}}) \\ &=: \eta_1 e^{\xi_1} + e^{\xi_1} D'_n, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where

$$D'_n := \eta_2 e^{\xi_2} + \ldots + \eta_{n+1} e^{\xi_2 + \ldots + \xi_{n+1}} =_{\rm st} D_n$$

and D'_n does not depend on (ξ_1, η_1). Define

$$Y_n := f(D_n) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad Y'_n := f(D'_n) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where

$$f(x) \;:=\; \begin{cases} \log x & \text{for } x \geq e, \\ -\log|x| & \text{for } x \leq -e, \\ x/e & \text{for } x \in [-e, e], \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

so $f(x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous increasing function such that $f(x) \geq \log x$ for all $x > 0$ and $f(x) \leq -\log|x|$ for all $x < 0$. Also define the following random field

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(y) \;&:=\; f(\eta e^{\xi} + e^{\xi} f^{-1}(y)) - y \\ &=\; f(\eta e^{\xi} + e^{\xi} e^{y}) - y \quad \text{if } y > 1, \\ &=\; \xi + \log(1 + \eta e^{-y}) \quad \text{if } y > 1 \text{ and } e^{\xi}(\eta + e^{y}) > e. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Then the recursion (4) may be rewritten as

$$Y_{n+1} \;=_d\; Y'_n + \xi(Y'_n).$$

Let $\{\xi_n(y), y \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $n \geq 1$, be independent copies of the random field $\xi(y)$. The sequence Y_n is not a Markov chain, while the sequence X_n defined by the equalities $X_1 := f(\eta_1 e^{\xi_1})$ and

$$X_{n+1} \;:=\; X_n + \xi_{n+1}(X_n)$$

is a time-homogeneous Markov chain on $\mathbb{R}$ due to independence of $\xi_n(y)$, $n \geq 1$; call it the *associated* Markov chain. Despite the fact that the distributions of the sequences $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ are different,

$$X_n =_{\rm st} Y_n \quad \text{for every fixed } n,$$

which allows to compute the distribution tail of the perpetuity D_n via the distribution tail of the Markov chain X_n,

$$\mathbb{P}\{D_n > x\} \;=\; \mathbb{P}\{X_n > \log x\} \quad \text{for } x > e. \tag{7}$$

In particular, the distribution of D_∞ coincides on the set $[e, \infty)$ with the invariant distribution of the Markov chain e^{X_n}. Here the situation is similar to that for the maximum os sums $\max\{0, S_1, \dots, S_n\}$; it is not a Markov chain but coincides in distribution with a Markov chain W_n where

$$W_0 := 0 \text{ and } W_n := (W_{n-1} + \xi_n)^+ \tag{8}$$

(see, for example, Feller [10, Chap. VI, section 9]).

A Markov chain X_n is called *asymptotically homogeneous in space* if the distribution of its jump $\xi_n(x)$ weakly converges as $x \to \infty$. (A similar notion of additive Markov process was introduced by Aldous in [1, Section C11] where stronger convergence of $\xi(x)$—in total variation—is assumed.)

The associated Markov chain X_n is asymptotically homogeneous in space with limiting jump ξ; it is particularly emphasised by Goldie in [12, Section 2]. Let us underline that, in general, $\xi + \log(1 + \eta e^{-x})$ may not converge to ξ as $x \to \infty$ in total variation norm.

In the literature, some other random equations are also considered, for example (see e.g. Goldie [12])

$$R_{n+1} \;:=\; \max(\eta_{n+1},\, e^{\xi_{n+1}} R_n),$$

where the associated Markov chain has jumps $\xi(x) = \max(\xi,\, \log \eta - x)$ eventually in x and so it is again asymptotically space-homogeneous.

Asymptotically homogeneous in space Markov chains were studied in [4, 5, 20] from the point of view of the asymptotic behaviour of the probabilities of large deviations. In particular, it was shown there that tail asymptotics of the invariant measure heavily depends on the tail properties of the limiting jump ξ of the chain. Let us recall some relevant notions.

Denote exponential moments of ξ by $\varphi(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda\xi}$ and consider

$$\beta = \sup\{\lambda \geq 0 : \varphi(\lambda) \leq 1\}.$$

Since $\mathbb{P}\{\xi > 0\} > 0$, $\beta < \infty$. In this paper we study the following two basic cases:

(i) $\beta = 0$, the heavy-tailed case where all positive exponential moments of ξ are infinite;

(ii) $\beta > 0$ and $\varphi(\beta) = 1$, the Cramér case.

As clear from the theory of random walks, asymptotic behaviour of $\mathbb{P}\{X_n > x\}$ for the associated Markov chain X_n should be very different in these two cases.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we start with subexponential asymptotics for D_n and $\widetilde{D}_n$. Then in Section 3 we study perpetuities in the Cramér case while in Section 4 we do it for Markov modulated perpetuities. The last Section 5 is devoted to limit theorems for transient perpetuities.

2 Subexponential asymptotics

In this section we consider the case where ξ is heavy-tailed and $\eta > 0$, so $D_n > 0$ and $\widetilde{D}_n > 0$. We show, in particular, that the most probable way by which large values of both D_n and $\widetilde{D}_n$ do occur is a single big jump; this principle is well known in the theory of subexponential distributions, see e.g. [11, Theorem 5.4]. Let us first recall relevant distribution classes. We denote by $\overline{H}(x) = H(x, \infty)$ the tail of a distribution H.

For a distribution H with finite expectation, we define the *integrated tail distribution* H_I on $\mathbb{R}^+$ by its tail:

$$\overline{H}_I(x) := \min\Big(1, \int_x^\infty \overline{H}(y)dy\Big).$$

A distribution H with right unbounded support is called to be *long-tailed* if, for each fixed y, $\overline{H}(x + y) \sim \overline{H}(x)$ as $x \to \infty$.

A distribution H on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with unbounded support is called to be *subexponential* if $\overline{H * H}(x) \sim 2\overline{H}(x)$ as $x \to \infty$. Equivalently, $\mathbb{P}\{\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 > x\} \sim 2\mathbb{P}\{\zeta_1 > x\}$, where random variables ζ_1 and ζ_2 are independent with distribution H. A distribution H of a random variable ζ on $\mathbb{R}$ with right-unbounded support is called to be *subexponential* if the distribution of ζ^+ is so. Standard examples of subexponential distributions are given by Pareto, regularly varying, log-normal, Weibull with shape parameter $k < 1$ distributions.

As well known (see, e.g. [11, Lemma 3.2]) subexponentiality of H on $\mathbb{R}^+$ implies that H is long-tailed. In particular, if the distribution of a random variable $\zeta \geq 0$ is subexponential then ζ is heavy-tailed.

A distribution H with right unbounded support and finite expectation is called to be *strong subexponential* if

$$\int_0^x \overline{H}(y)\overline{H}(x - y)dy \ \sim \ 2\overline{H}(x) \int_0^\infty \overline{H}(y)dy \quad \text{as } x \to \infty.$$

Strong subexponentiality of H implies that both H and H_I are subexponential, see e.g. [11, Theorem 3.27]. Standard subexponential distributions are usually strong subexponential too.

For the perpetuity D_n, denote the distribution of the sum $\xi + \log(1+\eta)$ by H.

For the perpetuity $\widetilde{D}_n$, denote the distribution of the $\max(\xi, \log(1+\eta))$ by $\widetilde{H}$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $\mathbb{E}\xi = -a < 0$, $\eta > 0$ and $\mathbb{E}\log(1+\eta) < \infty$, so that D_n is a convergent perpetuity. If the integrated tail distribution H_I is long-tailed, then*

$$\liminf_{x\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{D_\infty > x\}}{\overline{H_I}(\log x)} \geq \frac{1}{a}.$$

If, in addition, the integrated tail distribution H_I is subexponential then

$$\mathbb{P}\{D_\infty > x\} \quad \sim \quad \frac{1}{a}\overline{H}_I(\log x) \quad \text{as } x \to \infty.$$

The same results hold for $\widetilde{D}_\infty$ if the distribution H_I is replaced by $\widetilde{H}_I$.

The tail asymptotics for D_∞ and $\widetilde{D}_\infty$ are determined by the distributions H of $\xi + \log(1+\eta)$ and $\widetilde{H}$ of $\max(\xi, \log(1+\eta))$ respectively. If ξ and η are independent, then—for most standard subexponential distributions of ξ and η—H and $\widetilde{H}$ are tail equivalent, hence tail asymptotics for D_∞ and $\widetilde{D}_\infty$ are asymptotically equivalent. The situation becomes different for dependent ξ and η. For example, if $\xi = \log(1+\eta) + \text{const}$ then $\overline{H}(x) \sim \overline{\widetilde{H}}(x/2)$, so the tail of H is heavier than that of $\widetilde{H}$.

Denote the distribution of ξ by F and the distribution of $\log(1+\eta) \geq 0$ by G. Notice that H_I (and $\widetilde{H}_I$) is automatically subexponential if ξ_1 and η_1 are independent, F_I is subexponential and $\overline{G}_I(x) = o(\overline{F}_I(x))$ as $x \to \infty$ (see, e.g. Corollary 3.18 in [11]).

The tail asymptotics for $\widetilde{D}_\infty$ was proven by Dyszewski in [8, Theorem 3.1] under additional assumption that moment of order $1+\gamma$ of $\widetilde{H}$ if finite. If η takes values of both signs, then only asymptotic upper and lower bounds are known for the tail of the perpetuity, see Dyszewski [8, Theorem 3.1]; we do not cover this case in the present paper.

The last theorem seems to be deducible from [5, Theorem 3] where subexponential asymptotics were proven for asymptotically homogeneous in space Markov chains. But, first, it is formally assumed in [5, Theorem 3] that the distribution of a Markov chain X_n converges to the invariant distribution in total variation norm which is not always the case for perpetuities. Second, perpetuity possesses some

specific properties which allow to prove asymptotics in a more simple way than it is done in [5, Theorem 3]; we present such a proof below but still it follows some ideas of the proof for Markov chains in [5].

Proof of Theorem 1. In the case where $\eta > 0$ and so $D_n > 0$, it is more convenient to consider the logarithm of D_n, so that the associated Markov chain X_n has jumps

$$\xi(x) \;=\; \xi + \log(1 + \eta e^{-x}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

First consider D_∞. Since $\eta > 0$, the family of jumps $\xi(y)$, $y \geq 0$, possesses an integrable minorant

$$\xi(y) \;\; \geq_{st} \;\; \xi. \tag{9}$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. The family of random variables $\log(1 + \eta e^{-y})$, $y \geq 0$, possesses an integrable majorant $\log(1 + \eta)$ and $\log(1 + \eta e^{-y}) \to 0$ as $y \to \infty$ in probability. Then it follows by the dominated convergence theorem that, for some sufficiently large x_1,

$$\mathbb{E} \log(1 + \eta e^{-x_1}) \;\; \leq \;\; \varepsilon. \tag{10}$$

Therefore, the family of jumps $\xi(y)$, $y \geq x_1$, possesses an integrable majorant

$$\xi(y) \;\; \leq_{st} \;\; \xi + \log(1 + \eta e^{-x_1}). \tag{11}$$

Since D_n is assumed to be convergent, the associated Markov chain X_n is stable, so there exists an $x_2 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_n \in [-x_2, x_2]\} \;\geq\; 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

For all k, n and A consider the event

$$B(k, n, A) := \{\xi_{k+1} + \ldots + \xi_{k+j} \geq -A - n(a + \varepsilon) \text{ for all } j \leq n\}.$$

By the strong law of large numbers, there exists a sufficiently large A such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{B(k, n, A)\} \;\geq\; 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k \text{ and } n.$$

It follows from (9) that any of the events

$$\{X_{k-1} \in [-x_2, x_2],\; \xi_k + \log(\eta_k + e^{-x_2}) - x_2 > x + A + (n-k)(a+\varepsilon),\; B(k, n-k, A)\}$$

implies $X_n > x$ and they are pairwise disjoint. Taking into account the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\log(\eta_k + e^{-x_2}) &= \log(1+\eta_k) - \log\frac{\eta_k+1}{\eta_k+e^{-x_2}} \\ &\geq \log(1+\eta_k) - x_2,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain, by the Markov property,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{X_n > x\} &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{P}\{X_{k-1}\in[-x_2,x_2]\}\overline{H}(x+2x_2+A+(n-k)(a+\varepsilon)) \\ &\qquad\qquad \times\mathbb{P}\{B(k,n-k,A)\} \\ &\geq (1-\varepsilon)^2\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\overline{H}(x+2x_2+A+(n-k)(a+\varepsilon)).\end{aligned}$$

Since the tail is a non-increasing function, the last sum is not less than

$$\frac{1}{a+\varepsilon}\int_{a+\varepsilon}^{n(a+\varepsilon)}\overline{H}(x+2x_2+A+y)dy.$$

Letting $n\to\infty$ we obtain that the tail at point x of the stationary distribution of the associated Markov chain X is not less than

$$\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{a+\varepsilon}\int_{a+\varepsilon}^{\infty}\overline{H}(x+2x_1+A+y)dy = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{a+\varepsilon}\overline{H_I}(x+2x_2+A+a+\varepsilon).$$

Since the integrated tail distribution H_I is assumed to be long-tailed,

$$\overline{H_I}(x+2x_2+A+a+\varepsilon)\sim\overline{H_I}(x)\quad\text{as } x\to\infty.$$

Summarising altogether we deduce that, for every fixed $\varepsilon>0$,

$$\liminf_{x\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{P}\{D_\infty>x\}}{\overline{H_I}(\log x)}\geq\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{a+\varepsilon},$$

which implies the lower bound of the theorem due to the arbitrary choice of $\varepsilon>0$.

Now turn to the asymptotic upper bound under assumption that the integrated tail distribution H_I is subexponential. Fix $\varepsilon\in(0,a)$. Let x_1 be defined as in (10), so $\mathbb{E}\xi(x_1)\leq -a+\varepsilon$. Take

$$\zeta_n := \xi_n + \log(1+\eta_n e^{-x_1})$$

and let H_1 be its distribution. Since

$$\xi + \log(1+\eta) - x_1 \;\le\; \zeta \;\le\; \xi + \log(1+\eta),$$

we have $\overline{H}(x+x_1) \le \overline{H}_1(x) \le \overline{H}(x)$. Then subexponentiality of H_I yields subexponentiality of the integrated tail distribution of H_1 and $\overline{H}_{1,I}(x) \sim \overline{H}_I(x)$ as $x \to \infty$.

The jumps $\xi_n(x)$ of the chain X_n possess the upper bound (11) which may be rewritten as

$$\xi_n(x) \le \zeta_n \quad \text{for all } x \ge x_1. \tag{12}$$

In addition, by the inequality

$$\log(1+uv) \;\le\; \log(1+u) + \log v \quad \text{for } u \ge 0,\ v \ge 1, \tag{13}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} x + \xi_n(x) &= x + \xi_n + \log(1+\eta_n e^{-x}) \\ &\le x + \xi_n + \log(1+\eta_n e^{-x_1}) + \log e^{x_1 - x} \\ &= \zeta_n + x_1 \quad \text{for all } x \le x_1. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Consider a random walk Z_n with delay at the origin with jumps ζ's:

$$Z_0 := 0,\ \ Z_n := (Z_{n-1} + \zeta_n)^+.$$

The upper bounds (12) and (14) yield that

$$X_n \le x_1 + Z_n \quad \text{for all } n.$$

so that X_n is dominated by the random walk on $[x_1, \infty)$ with delay at point x_1. Since the integrated tail distribution $H_{1,I}$ is assumed to be subexponential, the tail of the invariant measure of the chain Z_n is asymptotically equivalent to $\overline{H}_{1,I}(x)/(a-\varepsilon) \sim \overline{H}_I(x)/(a-\varepsilon)$ as $x \to \infty$, see, for example, [11, Theorem 5.2]. Thus, the tail of the invariant measure of X_n is asymptotically not greater than $\overline{H}_I(x-x_1)/(a-\varepsilon)$ which is equivalent to $\overline{H}_I(x)/(a-\varepsilon)$, since H_I is long-tailed by the subexponentiality. Hence,

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{D_\infty > x\}}{\overline{H}_I(\log x)} \le \frac{1}{a-\varepsilon}.$$

By the arbitrary choice of $\varepsilon > 0$ together with the lower bound proven above this completes the proof of the second theorem assertion for D_∞.

The result for $\widetilde{D}_\infty$ is immediate if we prove that $\widetilde{H}_I$ is subexponential if and only if the integrated tail distribution of $\log(e^\xi+\eta)$ is so. Indeed, since

$$\log(e^\xi+1+\eta)-\log 2 \;\le\; \log(e^\xi+\eta) \;\le\; \log(e^\xi+1+\eta)$$

on the event $e^\xi+\eta\ge 1$, subexponentiality of the integrated tail distribution of $\log(e^\xi+\eta)$ is equivalent to subexponentiality of that for $\log(e^\xi+1+\eta)$. Then inequalities

$$\log(e^\xi+1+\eta) \;\le\; \log\bigl(2\max(e^\xi,1+\eta)\bigr) \;=\; \log 2+\max\bigl(\xi,\log(1+\eta)\bigr)$$

and

$$\log(e^\xi+1+\eta) \;\ge\; \log\bigl(\max(e^\xi,1+\eta)\bigr) \;=\; \max\bigl(\xi,\log(1+\eta)\bigr)$$

imply the required conclusion. □

The same arguments with the same minorants and majorants allow us to conclude the following result for the finite time horizon asymptotics if we apply Theorem 5.3 from [11] instead of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $\mathbb{E}\xi=-a<0$, $\eta>0$ and $\mathbb{E}\log(1+\eta)<\infty$. If the distribution H of $\xi+\log(1+\eta)$ is subexponential then, for each fixed $n\ge 1$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\{D_n>x\} \;\sim\; \frac{1}{a}\int_{\log x}^{\log x+na}\overline{H}(y)dy \quad \text{as } x\to\infty. \tag{15}$$

If H is strong subexponential then (15) *holds uniformly in $n\ge 1$.*

The same results hold for $\widetilde{D}_n$ if the distribution H is replaced by $\widetilde{H}$.

The main contribution of this theorem is assertion stating uniformity in $n\ge 1$. The simple part stating (15) for $\widetilde{D}_n$ for a fixed n is proven by Dyszewski in [8, Theorem 3.3].

We conclude this section by a version of the principle of a single big jump for D_∞, D_n, $\widetilde{D}_\infty$, and $\widetilde{D}_n$. For simplicity we consider the case where $\eta\ge\delta$ for some constant $\delta>0$. Then

$$\log(1+\eta) \;\le\; \log\eta+\log(1+1/\delta). \tag{16}$$

For any $C>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ consider events

$$B_k \;:=\; \bigl\{|S_j+aj|\le(j\varepsilon+C)/2 \text{ and } \log\eta_j\le(j\varepsilon+C)/2 \text{ for all } j\le k,\; \xi_{k+1}+\log\eta_{k+1}>\log x+ka\bigr\}$$

which, for large x, roughly speaking means that up to time k the random walk S_j moves down according to the strong law of large numbers and then a big value of $\xi_{k+1} + \log \eta_{k+1}$ occurs for some k. As stated in the next theorem, the union of these events describes the most probable way by which large deviations of D_∞ and D_n can occur.

For $\widetilde{D}_n$, we consider events

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{B}_k \;:=\; \big\{|S_j + aj| \le (j\varepsilon + C)/2,\ \max(\xi_j, \log \eta_j) \le (j\varepsilon + C)/2 \text{ for all } j \le k,\\ \max(\xi_{k+1}, \log \eta_{k+1}) > \log x + ka\big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. *Let H_I be subexponential. Then, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_{C\to\infty} \lim_{x\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\{\cup_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \mid D_\infty > x\} \;=\; 1.$$

If, in addition, H is strong subexponential, then, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{C\to\infty} \lim_{x\to\infty} \inf_{n\ge 1} \mathbb{P}\{\cup_{k=0}^{n-1} B_k \mid D_n > x\} \;=\; 1.$$

The same results hold for $\widetilde{D}_\infty$ and $\widetilde{D}_n$ if the distribution H is replaced by $\widetilde{H}$ and events B_k by $\widetilde{B}_k$.

Proof. We prove the assertion for D_n only, because the proof for D_∞ is similar.

Since each of the events

$$\begin{aligned}B_k^* \;:=\; \big\{|S_j + aj| \le (j\varepsilon + C)/2 \text{ and } \log \eta_j \le (j\varepsilon + C)/2 \text{ for all } j \le k,\\ \xi_{k+1} + \log \eta_{k+1} > \log x + C + k(a + \varepsilon)\big\}, \quad k \le n-1,\end{aligned}$$

is contained in B_k and implies that

$$\eta_{k+1} e^{S_{k+1}} \;=\; e^{S_k + \xi_{k+1} + \log \eta_{k+1}} \;\ge\; e^{\log x},$$

so that $D_n > x$, we consequently have that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\cup_{k=0}^{n} B_k \mid D_n > x\} \;\ge\; \mathbb{P}\{\cup_{k=0}^{n-1} B_k^* \mid D_n > x\} = \frac{\mathbb{P}\{\cup_{k=0}^{n-1} B_k^*\}}{\mathbb{P}\{D_n > x\}}. \quad (17)$$

The events B_k^* are disjoint for all $x > e^C$ because $S_j + \log \eta_j \le (-a + \varepsilon)j + C$ for $j \le k$ on B_k^* while

$$\begin{aligned}S_{k+1} + \log \eta_{k+1} &= S_k + \xi_{k+1} + \log \eta_{k+1}\\ &\ge (-a - \varepsilon/2)k - C/2 + \log x + C + k(a + \varepsilon)\\ &\ge \log x,\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{\cup_{k=0}^{n-1}B_k^*\} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{P}\{B_k^*\}\\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{P}\Big\{|S_j+aj|\le\frac{j\varepsilon+C}{2},\ \log\eta_j\le\frac{j\varepsilon+C}{2}\text{ for all } j\le k\Big\}\\ &\qquad\times\mathbb{P}\big\{\xi_{k+1}+\log\eta_{k+1}>\log x+C+k(a+\varepsilon)\big\}.\end{aligned}$$

For any fixed $\gamma>0$, there exists C such that,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{|S_j+aj|\le\frac{j\varepsilon+C}{2},\ \log\eta_j\le\frac{j\varepsilon+C}{2}\text{ for all } j\ge 1\Big\}\ \ge\ 1-\gamma.$$

Then, for all $x>e^C$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\cup_{k=1}^{n-1}B_k^*\}\ \ge\ (1-\gamma)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{P}\big\{\xi+\log\eta>\log x+C+k(a+\varepsilon)\big\}.$$

Applying (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{\cup_{k=1}^{n-1}B_k^*\} &\ge (1-\gamma)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{P}\big\{\xi+\log(1+\eta)>\log x+C+k(a+\varepsilon)+\log(1+1/\delta)\big\}\\ &\sim (1-\gamma)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\overline{H}(\log x+k(a+\varepsilon))\\ &\ge \frac{1-\gamma}{a+\varepsilon}\int_{\log x}^{\log x+n(a+\varepsilon)}\overline{H}(y)dy.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this estimate and the asymptotics for D_n into (17) we deduce that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\inf_{n\ge1}\mathbb{P}\{\cup_{k=0}^{n}B_k\mid D_n>x\}\ \ge\ \frac{(1-2\gamma)a}{a+\varepsilon}.$$

Now we can make $\gamma>0$ as small as we please by choosing a sufficiently large C. Therefore,

$$\lim_{C\to\infty}\lim_{x\to\infty}\inf_{n\ge1}\mathbb{P}\{\cup_{k=0}^{n-1}B_k\mid D_n>x\}\ \ge\ \frac{a}{a+\varepsilon}.$$

Here the probability on the left is decreasing as $\varepsilon\downarrow 0$ while the ratio on the right can be made as close to 1 as we please by choosing a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$. This yields that the limit is equal to 1 for every $\varepsilon>0$. □

3 Cramér's case

In this section we consider light-tailed case where ξ possesses some positive exponential moments finite; the Cramér case is studied. To obtain tail results for D_∞ and D_n in the Cramér case we first recall the corresponding theorem for asymptotically space-homogeneous Markov chain. So, let X_n be a Markov chain on $\mathbb{R}$ with jumps $\xi(x)$ which weakly converge to ξ as $x \to \infty$; let the distribution F of the random variable ξ be non-lattice. Let π be the invariant distribution of X_n.

As above, the parameter $\beta > 0$ is a positive solution to the equation $\varphi(\beta) = \mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi} = 1$. Then the measure $F^{(\beta)}$ defined by the equality

$$F^{(\beta)}(du) = e^{\beta u}F(du),$$

is probabilistic. Let $\xi^{(\beta)}$ be a random variable with distribution $F^{(\beta)}$. Assume that

$$\alpha \;\equiv\; \mathbb{E}\xi^{(\beta)} = \varphi'(\beta) \in (0,\infty).$$

Theorem 4 ([20])**.** *Let*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\beta y}|\mathbb{P}\{\xi(x) > y\} - \mathbb{P}\{\xi > y\}|dy \;\le\; \delta(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{18}$$

for some bounded decreasing integrable at infinity regularly varying function $\delta(x)$. Then

$$\pi(x,\infty) \;=\; (c + o(1))e^{-\beta x} \quad \textit{as } x \to \infty,$$

where

$$c \;=\; \frac{1}{\beta\alpha}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi(y)} - 1)e^{\beta y}\,\pi(dy) \in [0,\infty). \tag{19}$$

If $\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi(y)} \ge 1 - \gamma(y)$ for some decreasing function $\gamma(y) = o(1/y)$ such that $y\gamma(y)$ is integrable at infinity, then $c > 0$.

Let in addition $\mathbb{E}e^{\beta X_0}$ be finite, $\mathbb{E}\xi^2 e^{\beta\xi} < \infty$ and let the family of jumps $\{\xi(u), u \in \mathbb{R}\}$ possesses a stochastic majorant $\overline{\xi}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\overline{\xi}^2 e^{\beta\overline{\xi}} \;<\; \infty. \tag{20}$$

Assume also that the chain jumps satisfy the following conditions:

$$\inf_{u\in\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi(u)} \;>\; 0, \tag{21}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\xi(u)e^{\beta\xi(u)} \;=\; \alpha + o(1/\sqrt{u}) \quad \textit{as } u \to \infty. \tag{22}$$

Then the following relation holds:

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_n > x\} = ce^{-\beta x}\mathscr{N}_{0,\sigma^2}\left(\frac{n\alpha - x}{\sqrt{x/\alpha}}\right) + o(e^{-\beta x})$$

as $x \to \infty$ uniformly in $n \geq 0$, where $\mathscr{N}_{0,\sigma^2}$ is the normal cumulative distribution function with zero expectation and variance

$$\sigma^2 \equiv \mathbb{V}ar\xi^{(\beta)} = \varphi''(\beta) - (\varphi'(\beta))^2.$$

The last theorem gives a new way for proving the power tail asymptotics for the perpetuities D_∞ and $\widetilde{D}_\infty$ in the Cramér case. We assume a non-lattice distribution of ξ.

Theorem 5. *Suppose that $\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi} = 1$ and $\alpha := \mathbb{E}\xi e^{\beta\xi} < \infty$. If $\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi}|\eta|^\beta < \infty$ then, for some $c > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\{D_\infty > x\} \sim \frac{c}{x^\beta} \quad \text{as } x \to \infty. \tag{23}$$

The same result holds for $\widetilde{D}_\infty$ if we assume finiteness of $\mathbb{E}|\eta|^\beta$ instead of $\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi}|\eta|^\beta$.

Notice that the tail asymptotics for $\widetilde{D}_\infty$ is due to Kesten [17, Theorem 5]; for a complete proof see Goldie [12, Theorem 4.1].

Proof. By Theorem 4, it is sufficient to check that the jumps $\xi(x)$ of the associated Markov chain X_n defined in (6) satisfy

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\beta y}|\mathbb{P}\{\xi(x) > y\} - \mathbb{P}\{\xi > y\}|dy = O(e^{-\delta x}) \text{ as } x \to \infty, \tag{24}$$

where $\delta = \min(1, \beta)$. Consider $x > 1$. Since $f(y) \geq \log y$ for all $y > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(x) &= f(e^\xi(e^x + \eta)) - x \\ &\geq \log(e^\xi(e^x + \eta)) - x = \xi + \log(1 + \eta e^{-x}) \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

on the event $\eta > -e^x$. Then $\xi(x) \geq \xi$ on the event $\eta > 0$. On the event $\eta \leq 0$,

$$\xi(x) \leq f(e^{x+\xi}) - x \leq \max(\xi, 1 - x).$$

Therefore, for $x > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathbb{P}\{\xi(x) > y\} - \mathbb{P}\{\xi > y\}| \;\le\; & \mathbb{P}\{\xi(x) > y, \eta > 0\} - \mathbb{P}\{\xi > y, \eta > 0\} \\ & + \mathbb{P}\{\xi > y, \eta \le 0\} - \mathbb{P}\{\xi(x) > y, \eta \le 0\} \\ & + \mathbb{I}\{y \le 1 - x\},\end{aligned}$$

and hence the integral in (24) may be bounded by the sum

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{1-x} e^{\beta y} dy + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\beta y} \big(\mathbb{P}\{\xi(x) > y, \eta > 0\} - \mathbb{P}\{\xi > y, \eta > 0\}\big) dy \\ + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\beta y} \big(\mathbb{P}\{\xi > y, \eta \le 0\} - \mathbb{P}\{\xi(x) > y, \eta \le 0\}\big) dy. \qquad (26)\end{aligned}$$

The first integral here is of order $O(e^{-\beta x})$. The second integral equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\beta^{-1}\big(\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi(x)};\ \eta > 0\} - \mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi};\ \eta > 0\}\big) \\ &= \beta^{-1}\big(\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi(x)};\ \eta > 0, \xi(x) > 1 - x\} - \mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi};\ \eta > 0\}\big) + O(e^{-\beta x}) \\ &= \beta^{-1}\big(\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi}(1 + \eta e^{-x})^{\beta};\ \eta > 0, \xi(x) > 1 - x\} - \mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi};\ \eta > 0\}\big) + O(e^{-\beta x}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the second integral is not greater than

$$\begin{aligned}&\beta^{-1}\big(\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi}(1 + \eta e^{-x})^{\beta};\ \eta > 0\} - \mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi};\ \eta > 0\}\big) + O(e^{-\beta x}) \\ &\qquad = \beta^{-1}\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi}\big((1 + \eta^{+}e^{-x})^{\beta} - 1\big) + O(e^{-\beta x}).\end{aligned}$$

If $\beta \le 1$, then $(1 + z)^{\beta} - 1 \le z^{\beta}$ for $z \ge 0$ and hence

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi}\big((1 + \eta^{+}e^{-x})^{\beta} - 1\big) \;\le\; e^{-\beta x}\mathbb{E}(e^{\xi}\eta^{+})^{\beta} \;=\; O(e^{-\beta x}) \quad \text{as } x \to \infty,$$

since $\mathbb{E}(e^{\xi}\eta^{+})^{\beta}$ is finite. If $\beta > 1$, then there is a c_1 such that

$$(1 + z)^{\beta} - 1 \;\le\; \beta(1 + z)^{\beta-1}z \;\le\; c_1(z + z^{\beta}) \quad \text{for } z \ge 0, \qquad (27)$$

so

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi}\big((1 + \eta^{+}e^{-x})^{\beta} - 1\big) \;\le\; c_1\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi}(\eta^{+}e^{-x} + (\eta^{+})^{\beta}e^{-\beta x}) \;=\; O(e^{-x}).$$

Altogether implies that the second integral in (26) is of order $O(e^{-\delta x})$ as $x \to \infty$.

Further, the third integral in (26) equals

$$\beta^{-1}\big(\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi};\ \eta \le 0\} - \mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi(x)};\ \eta \le 0\}\big).$$

In its turn, the difference of expectations is not greater than

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi};\ \eta\le 0\}-\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi(x)};\ -e^x<\eta\le 0\}\\ &\quad\le\ \mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi};\ \eta\le 0\}-\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi}(1+\eta e^{-x})^\beta;\ -e^x<\eta\le 0\},\end{aligned}$$

due to (25). If $\beta\le 1$ then $(1-z)^\beta\ge 1-z^\beta$ for all $z\in[0,1]$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi}(1+\eta e^{-x})^\beta;\ -e^x<\eta\le 0\}\ &\ge\ \mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi}(1-|\eta|^\beta e^{-\beta x});\ -e^x<\eta\le 0\}\\ &\ge\ \mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi}(1-|\eta|^\beta e^{-\beta x});\ \eta\le 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi};\ \eta\le 0\}-\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi(x)};\ -e^x<\eta\le 0\}\ &\le\ e^{-\beta x}\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi}|\eta|^\beta;\ \eta\le 0\}\\ &=\ O(e^{-\beta x}).\end{aligned}$$

If $\beta>1$ then $(1-z)^\beta\ge 1-\beta z$ for all $z\in[0,1]$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi}(1+\eta e^{-x})^\beta;\ -e^x<\eta\le 0\}\ &\ge\ \mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi}(1-\beta|\eta|e^{-x});\ -e^x<\eta\le 0\}\\ &\ge\ \mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi}(1-\beta|\eta|e^{-x});\ \eta\le 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi};\ \eta\le 0\}-\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi(x)};\ -e^x<\eta\le 0\}\ &\le\ \beta e^{-x}\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi}|\eta|;\ \eta\le 0\}\\ &=\ O(e^{-x}),\end{aligned}$$

because both $e^{\beta\xi}$ and $e^{\beta\xi}|\eta|^\beta$ have finite expectations. Hence the third integral in (26) is of order $O(e^{-\delta x})$ as $x\to\infty$.

Above bounds for integrals in (26) yield (24) and hence (23). In particular, $\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi(x)}\ge 1-O(e^{-\delta x})$ which implies $c>0$ by Theorem 4. □

Now let us show how Theorem 4 allows to identify asymptotic tail behaviour of D_n. Unfortunately it only works in the case of positive η, so $D_n>0$, because the associated Markov chain X_n does not satisfy the condition (20) if X_n takes values of both signs; in order to solve the case of general η it is necessary to improve Theorem 4 but we do not do it in this paper.

Theorem 6. *Let conditions of Theorem 5 hold and $\eta>0$. If, in addition, $\sigma^2:=\mathbb{E}\xi^2e^{\beta\xi}-\alpha^2<\infty$,*

$$\mathbb{E}|\xi|e^{\beta\xi}\eta^\beta\ <\ \infty \tag{28}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi^+ + \log(1+\eta))^2 e^{\beta\xi^+}(1+\eta)^\beta \;<\; \infty, \tag{29}$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}\{D_n > x\} = \frac{c}{x^\beta}\mathscr{N}_{0,\sigma^2}\left(\frac{n\alpha - \log x}{\sqrt{\alpha^{-1}\log x}}\right) + o(1/x^\beta)$$

as $x \to \infty$ *uniformly in* $n \geq 0$. *In particular, for* $n > \alpha^{-1}\log x + U(x)\sqrt{\log x}$ *where* $U(x) \to \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{D_n > x\} \sim \mathbb{P}\{D_\infty > x\} \quad \textit{as } x \to \infty.$$

The same results hold for $\widetilde{D}_n$ *if we replace the conditions* (28) *and* (29) *by integrability of* $|\xi|\eta^\beta$ *and* $\eta^\beta \log^2(1+\eta)$.

Proof. The associated Markov chain X_n satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 4. Indeed, the condition (21) is fulfilled because $\eta > 0$. The condition (20) is satisfied with $\overline{\xi} = \xi^+ + \log(1+\eta)$, by (29).

Let us now prove an equivalent version of the condition (22),

$$\mathbb{E}\xi(x)e^{\beta\xi(x)} \;=\; \mathbb{E}\xi e^{\beta\xi} + o(1/\sqrt{x}) \quad \text{as } x \to \infty. \tag{30}$$

Indeed, owing to $D_n > 0$ and the definition of $\xi(x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\xi(x)e^{\beta\xi(x)} &= \mathbb{E}\big[\log(e^\xi(e^x+\eta)) - x\big]e^{\beta[\log(e^\xi(e^x+\eta))-x]} + O(xe^{-\beta x}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\xi + \log(1+\eta e^{-x}))e^{\beta\xi}(1+\eta e^{-x})^\beta + O(xe^{-\beta x}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, due to $\eta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&|\mathbb{E}\xi(x)e^{\beta\xi(x)} - \mathbb{E}\xi e^{\beta\xi}| \\ &\quad= \big|\mathbb{E}(\xi + \log(1+\eta e^{-x}))e^{\beta\xi}(1+\eta e^{-x})^\beta - \mathbb{E}\xi e^{\beta\xi}\big| + O(xe^{-\beta x}) \\ &\quad\leq \mathbb{E}|\xi|e^{\beta\xi}[(1+\eta e^{-x})^\beta - 1] + \mathbb{E}\log(1+\eta e^{-x})e^{\beta\xi}(1+\eta e^{-x})^\beta + O(xe^{-\beta x}).\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Condition (28) allows us to repeat calculations used in the proof of Theorem 5 and to show that

$$\mathbb{E}|\xi|e^{\beta\xi}[(1+\eta e^{-x})^\beta - 1] \;=\; O(e^{-\delta x}) \quad \text{as } x \to \infty, \tag{32}$$

where again $\delta := \min(\beta, 1) > 0$. The second term on the right side of (31) may be estimated from above as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\log(1+\eta e^{-x})e^{\beta\xi}(1+\eta e^{-x})^{\beta} \\
&\quad\leq\ \mathbb{E}\{\log(1+\eta e^{-x})e^{\beta\xi}(1+\eta)^{\beta};\eta\leq e^{x/2}\} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\mathbb{E}\{\log(1+\eta)e^{\beta\xi}(1+\eta)^{\beta};\eta>e^{x/2}\} \\
&\quad\leq\ O(e^{-x/2})+\mathbb{E}\Big\{\frac{\log^2(1+\eta)}{\log(1+e^{x/2})}e^{\beta\xi}(1+\eta)^{\beta};\eta>e^{x/2}\Big\} \\
&\quad=\ o(1/x)\quad \text{as } x\to\infty, \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

due to (29). Substituting (32) and (33) into (31) we justify (30) and the result for D_n follows.

In order to prove asymptotics for $\widetilde{D}_n$, we first notice that inequality

$$(e^{\xi^+}+\eta)^{\beta}\log^2(e^{\xi^+}+\eta)\ \leq\ (2e^{\xi^+})^{\beta}\log^2(2e^{\xi^+})+(2\eta)^{\beta}\log^2(1+2\eta),$$

together with conditions $\mathbb{E}\xi^2e^{\beta\xi}<\infty$ and $\mathbb{E}\eta^{\beta}\log^2(1+\eta)<\infty$ implies that

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\xi^+}+\eta)^{\beta}\log^2(e^{\xi^+}+\eta)\ <\ \infty.$$

This observation makes it possible to conclude the proof for $\widetilde{D}_n$ similarly to D_n. □

The last theorem is proven in the case $\eta > 0$ only. As mentioned above, in the case where η takes negative values the condition (20) may fail for $\xi(x)$ for $x < 0$. However the same proving arguments allow us to prove a conditional central limit theorem for

$$T_x\ :=\ \min\{n\geq 1: D_n > x\}$$

without assumption that η is positive.

Theorem 7. *Suppose that* $\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi}=1$, $\alpha:=\mathbb{E}\xi e^{\beta\xi}<\infty$ *and* $\sigma^2:=\mathbb{E}\xi^2e^{\beta\xi}-\alpha^2<\infty$. *Suppose also that* $\mathbb{E}e^{\beta\xi}|\eta|^{\beta}<\infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}|\xi|e^{\beta\xi}|\eta|^{\beta}\ <\ \infty \qquad (34)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi^+ +\log(1+\eta^+))^2e^{\beta\xi^+}(1+|\eta|)^{\beta}\ <\ \infty, \qquad (35)$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}\{T_x \le n \mid T_x < \infty\} \;=\; \mathscr{N}_{0,\sigma^2}\left(\frac{n\alpha - \log x}{\sqrt{\alpha^{-1}\log x}}\right) + o(1)$$

as $x \to \infty$ *uniformly in* $n \ge 0$. *In particular, for* $n > \alpha^{-1}\log x + U(x)\sqrt{\log x}$ *where* $U(x) \to \infty$*,*

$$\mathbb{P}\{T_x \le n \mid T_x < \infty\} \;\to\; 1 \quad \textit{as } x \to \infty.$$

Large deviation estimates for exceedance times of $\widetilde{D}_n$ are studied by Buraczewski et al. in [6]. In particular, Theorem 2.2 of that paper states the same result as the last theorem but under essentially stronger moment assumptions on ξ and η.

Proof. Firstly,

$$\mathbb{P}\{T_x \le n \mid T_x < \infty\} \;=\; \mathbb{P}\{M_n > x \mid M_\infty > x\} \quad \text{where } M_n := \max_{k\le n} D_k.$$

Secondly, well known duality says that M_n equals in distribution to M_n^* defined by the recursion

$$M_n^* \;=\; e^{\xi_n}(M_{n-1}^* + \eta_n)^+,$$

because

$$M_n^* \;=\; \max\bigl(0, e^{\xi_n}\eta_n, e^{\xi_n}\eta_n + e^{\xi_n+\xi_{n-1}}\eta_{n-1}, \ldots\bigr).$$

The sequence M_n^* is a nonnegative Markov chain. Consider the associated Markov chain $X_n^* := f(M_n^*)$—where the function f is defined in (5)—whose jumps are

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^*(x) &= f(e^{\xi}(f^{-1}(x)+\eta)^+) - x \\ &= f(e^{\xi}(e^x+\eta)^+) - x \quad \text{if } x > 1 \\ &= \xi + \log(1+\eta e^{-x}) \quad \text{if } x > 1 \text{ and } e^{\xi}(e^x+\eta) > e.\end{aligned}$$

Then, for $x > 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{T_x \le n \mid T_x < \infty\} \;=\; \frac{\mathbb{P}\{X_n^* > \log x\}}{\mathbb{P}\{M_\infty > x\}},$$

so it suffices to prove that the Markov chain X_n^* satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 4. The same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 5 show that X_n^*

satisfies the condition (18). The majorisation condition (20) holds with $\overline{\xi} = \xi^+ + \log(1+\eta^+)$ as in the proof of Theorem 6 because X_n^* is positive. The condition (21) is also clear.

Concerning condition (22), let us notice that the proof of (30) only use positivity of η in (33). So, it remains to show that

$$\mathbb{E}\{|\log(1+\eta e^{-x})|e^{\beta\xi}(1+\eta e^{-x})^{\beta};\ -e^x < \eta < 0\} \quad = \quad o(1/\sqrt{x}) \quad \text{as } x \to \infty.$$

Indeed, this expectation does not exceed the sum

$$\mathbb{E}\{|\log(1+\eta e^{-x})|e^{\beta\xi};\ -e^{x/2} < \eta < 0\} + c\mathbb{E}\{e^{\beta\xi};\ \eta \le -e^{x/2}\}$$

where $c = \sup_{-1<t<0}(1+t)^{\beta}|\log(1+t)| < \infty$. The first expectation is of order $O(e^{-x/2})$ while the second is not greater than

$$\mathbb{E}\Big\{\frac{(1+|\eta|)^{\beta}}{(1+e^{x/2})^{\beta}}e^{\beta\xi};\ \eta > e^{x/2}\Big\} \quad = \quad O(e^{-\beta x/2}) \quad \text{as } x \to \infty,$$

because $\mathbb{E}(1+|\eta|)^{\beta}e^{\beta\xi} < \infty$ by the condition (35) and the proof is complete. □

4 Markov modulated perpetuities

In this section we consider a Markov modulated perpetuity. Let Φ_n be a time homogeneous non-periodic Markov chain in a general state-space X. Assume this chain possesses a Harris recurrent atom $x_* \in \mathsf{X}$ (the case of general Markov chain with splitting may also be considered; see Tweedie [23] for precise definitions.) For simplicity, let $\Phi_0 = x_*$. Let (ξ,η), (ξ_1,η_1), (ξ_2,η_2) be independent identically distributed random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$ independent of the process Φ. The Markov modulated perpetuity takes the form

$$D_\infty \quad := \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(\Phi_n,\eta_n)e^{S_n}$$

where the sum S_n is defined as $S_0 = 0$ and $S_{n+1} = S_n + f(\Phi_{n+1},\xi_{n+1})$. The functions f, $g : \mathsf{X}\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are assumed to be deterministic.

The Markov chain Φ is assumed to be positive recurrent with invariant measure ρ. Then the Harris Markov chain (Φ_n,ξ_n) in $\mathsf{X}\times\mathbb{R}$ has invariant measure $\rho\times F$

where F is the distribution of ξ. We suppose that the mean drift of S_n in stationary regime of Φ is negative, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} a: &= \int_{\mathsf{X}} \mathbb{E} f(x,\xi)\rho(dx) \\ &= \int_{\mathsf{X}\times\mathbb{R}} f(x,y)(\rho\times F)(dx,dy) < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Then by the strong law of large numbers for the Markov chain (Φ_n, ξ_n) (see Tweedie [23, Chapter 17]), $S_n/n \to -a < 0$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$ and D_∞ is finite with probability 1 provided that, for instance, the family of random variables $\log(1+|g(x,\eta)|)$, $x \in \mathsf{X}$, possesses an integrable majorant.

Denote $\tau := \min\{n \geq 1 : \Phi_n = x_* \mid \Phi_0 = x_*\}$, so that $\pi(x_*) = 1/\mathbb{E}\tau$. Notice that under positive recurrence D_∞ possesses the following representation based on the cycle structure of the underlying Markov chain Φ

$$D_\infty = \widehat{\eta}_1 e^{\widehat{S}_1} + \widehat{\eta}_2 e^{\widehat{S}_2} + \widehat{\eta}_3 e^{\widehat{S}_3} + ...$$

where $\widehat{\eta}_n$ are independent random variables distributed as

$$\widehat{\eta}_1 := \sum_{k=1}^{\tau} g(\Phi_k, \eta_k) e^{S_k - S_\tau} = \sum_{k=1}^{\tau} g(\Phi_k, \eta_k) e^{-(f(\Phi_{k+1},\xi_{k+1})+...+f(\Phi_\tau,\xi_\tau))}$$

and $\widehat{S}_n$ is the sum of other independent identically distributed random variables distributed as $\widehat{S}_1 = \widehat{\xi}_1 := S_\tau$ given $\Phi_0 = x_*$. Then the problem of approximation of the probability $\mathbb{P}\{D_\infty > x\}$ as $x \to \infty$ can be reduced to a perpetuity with independent vectors. Let us demonstrate this under the Cramér setting. The function

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) := \int_{\mathsf{X}} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda f(x,\xi)} \rho(dx)$$

is convex, $\widehat{\varphi}(0) = 1$ and $\widehat{\varphi}'(0) = a < 0$. Assume that there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}(\beta) = 1$. Then $\mathbb{E} e^{\beta\widehat{\xi}} = \mathbb{E} e^{\beta S_\tau} = 1$. If, in addition,

$$\mathbb{E}\widehat{\xi} e^{\beta\widehat{\xi}} \;=\; \mathbb{E} S_\tau e^{\beta S_\tau} \;<\; \infty \tag{37}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\beta\widehat{\xi}} |\widehat{\eta}|^\beta \;=\; \mathbb{E}\Big|\sum_{k=1}^{\tau} g(\Phi_k,\eta_k) e^{S_k}\Big|^\beta \;<\; \infty, \tag{38}$$

then by Theorem 5 applied to $(\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta})$ we get for Markov modulated perpetuity that

$$\mathbb{P}\{D_\infty > x\} \;\sim\; \frac{c}{x^\beta} \quad \text{as } x \to \infty.$$

In terms of local characteristics, the conditions (37) and (38) will be automatically fulfilled if, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &:= \sup_{x\in\mathsf{X}} \mathbb{E} e^{\beta f(x,\xi)} |f(x,\xi)| \;<\; \infty, \\ C_2 &:= \sup_{x\in\mathsf{X}} \mathbb{E} e^{\beta f(x,\xi)} |g(x,\eta)|^\beta \;<\; \infty, \\ K &:= \sup_{x\in\mathsf{X}} \mathbb{E} e^{\beta f(x,\xi)} \;<\; \infty \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbb{E}\tau^{\max(\beta,1)} K^\tau < \infty$; sufficiency of these conditions is based on conditioning on the trajectory of Φ_k and further application of the inequality

$$|x_1 + \ldots + x_n|^\beta \;\le\; n^{\max(\beta-1,0)} (|x_1|^\beta + \ldots + |x_n|^\beta).$$

This approach for proving power asymtotics for Markov modulated perpetuity is a simple alternative to how it is done by de Saporta in [25] and in more general setting by Blanchet et al. in [3, Theorem 1] via Perron–Frobenius theorem which requires a Markov chain Φ_n to be finite and calls for excessive exponential moment conditions on $f(x,\xi)$ and excessive power moment conditions on $g(x,\eta)$.

5 Limit theorems for transient perpetuities

Let (ξ,η), (ξ_1,η_1), (ξ_2,η_2), $\ldots$ be independent identically distributed random vectors valued in $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+$ were ξ's have common positive mean $a > 0$ and $\mathbb{E}\log(1+\eta) < \infty$. By the strong law of large numbers, $S_n/n \to a > 0$ as $n \to \infty$ with probability 1, so that, for every fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a.s. finite $N = N(\omega)$ such that $(a-\varepsilon)n \le S_n(\omega) \le (a+\varepsilon)n$ and $\log(1+\eta_n) \le \varepsilon n$ for $n \ge N$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\log D_n}{n} = \frac{\log \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k e^{S_k}}{n} \overset{a.s.}{\to} a \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

The weak convergence for D_n is specified in the following theorem.

Theorem 8. *Suppose that* $a := \mathbb{E}\xi > 0$, $\sigma^2 := \mathbb{V}ar\xi < \infty$ *and* $\mathbb{E}\log^2(1+\eta) < \infty$. *Then the following weak convergence holds:*

$$\frac{\log D_n - an}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2}} \;\Rightarrow\; \mathscr{N}_{0,1} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{39}$$

If further the distribution of ξ *is nonlattice, then for any fixed* $\Delta > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\log D_n \in (x, x+\Delta]\} \;=\; \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 n}} e^{-(x-na)^2/\sqrt{2n\sigma^2}} + o(1/\sqrt{n}) \tag{40}$$

as $n \to \infty$ *uniformly in* x.

The same results hold for $\widetilde{D}_n$.

Various limit behaviour of unstable perpetuities including convergence (39) determined by the central limit theorem were studied in Rachev and Samorodnitsky [24], Hitczenko and Wesołowski [16]; Markov modulated perpetuities were considered in Basu and Roitershtein [2]. Notice that the standard technique—simpler than presented here—used in these papers for proving limit theorems does not allow to derive a local result like (40).

Our proof of Theorem 8 is based on the following result.

Theorem 9 ([19])**.** *Suppose that the Markov chain* X_n *on* $\mathbb{R}$ *goes to infinity almost surely. Let, for some* $a > 0$ *and* $\sigma^2 > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\xi(x) \;=\; a + o(1/\sqrt{x}), \tag{41}$$

$$\mathbb{V}ar\xi(x) \;\to\; \sigma^2 \quad \text{as } x \to \infty, \tag{42}$$

and the family $\{\xi^2(x), x > 1\}$ *of squares of the jumps is integrable uniformly in* x. *Then*

$$\frac{X_n - an}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2}} \;\Rightarrow\; \mathscr{N}_{0,1} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{43}$$

Let, in addition, the Markov chain X_n *be asymptotically homogeneous in space, that is* $\xi(x) \Rightarrow \xi$ *as* $x \to \infty$, *the distribution of* ξ *be nonlattice, for any* $A > 0$

$$\sup_{x > na/2,\ \lambda \in [-A,A]} \left| \mathbb{E}e^{i\lambda\xi(x)} - \mathbb{E}e^{i\lambda\xi} \right| \;=\; o(1/n) \tag{44}$$

as $n \to \infty$ *and*

$$\mathbb{P}\big\{ X_k \le ka/2 \ \text{ for some } \ k \ge n \big\} \;=\; o(1/\sqrt{n}). \tag{45}$$

Then for any fixed $\Delta > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_n \in (x, x+\Delta]\} \;=\; \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 n}} e^{-(x-na)^2/\sqrt{2n\sigma^2}} + o(1/\sqrt{n}) \qquad (46)$$

as $n \to \infty$ *uniformly in* x.

Proof of Theorem 8. It is sufficient to check that the Markov chain X_n associated to D_n satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 9.

To prove that (41) is satisfied, first note that $\eta > 0$ implies $\xi(x) \geq \xi + \log(1+\eta e^{-x}) \geq \xi$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\log(1+\eta e^{-x}) &= \mathbb{E}\{\log(1+\eta e^{-x}); \eta \leq e^{x/2}\} + \mathbb{E}\{\log(1+\eta e^{-x}); \eta > e^{x/2}\} \\
&\leq e^{-x/2} + \mathbb{E}\Big\{\frac{\log^2(1+\eta)}{\log(1+e^{x/2})}; \eta > e^{x/2}\Big\} \\
&= e^{-x/2} + o(1/x) \quad \text{as } x \to \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by the condition $\mathbb{E}\log^2(1+\eta) < \infty$ which is even better than (41).

Since $\xi^2(x) \leq 2\xi^2 + 2\log^2(1+\eta)$ for all $x > 0$ and the mean of the right hand side is finite, the family $\{\xi^2(x), x > 0\}$ is integrable uniformly in x. In particular, then the convergence (42) follows and hence (39).

Further, (44) follows because

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|\mathbb{E}e^{i\lambda\xi(x)} - \mathbb{E}e^{i\lambda\xi}\big| &= \big|\mathbb{E}e^{i\lambda\xi}(1 - e^{i\lambda\log(1+\eta e^{-x})})\big| \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\big|1 - e^{i\lambda\log(1+\eta e^{-x})}\big| \\
&\leq \sqrt{2\mathbb{E}(1-\cos(\lambda\log(1+\eta e^{-x})))}
\end{aligned}$$

which implies for $|\lambda| \leq A$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\mathbb{E}e^{i\lambda\xi(x)} - \mathbb{E}e^{i\lambda\xi}\big| \\
&\leq 2\sqrt{\mathbb{P}\{\eta > e^{x/2}\}} + \sqrt{2(1 - \mathbb{E}\{\cos(A\log(1+\eta e^{-x}))); \ \eta \leq e^{x/2}\}} \\
&= o(1/x) + O(e^{-x/2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, (45) is satisfied due to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\big\{X_k \leq ka/2 \text{ for some } k \geq n\big\} &\leq \mathbb{P}\big\{S_k \leq ka/2 \text{ for some } k \geq n\big\} \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\Big\{\frac{S_k - ka}{k} \leq -\frac{a}{2} \text{ for some } k \geq n\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the sequence $(S_k - ka)/k$, $k = n, n+1, \ldots$ constitutes a reverse martingale which allows to apply Kolmogorov's inequality for martingales:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\Big\{\frac{S_k - ka}{k} \le -\frac{a}{2} \text{ for some } k \ge n\Big\} &\le \mathbb{P}\Big\{\sup_{k\ge n}\Big|\frac{S_k - ka}{k}\Big| \ge \frac{a}{2}\Big\} \\ &\le \frac{4\mathbb{V}arS_n}{a^2n^2} \\ &= O(1/n) = o(1/\sqrt{n}).\end{aligned}$$

So the Markov chain X_n associated to D_n satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 9 and the proof is complete. □

A local limit analysis of the Green function for asymptotically homogeneous in space transient Markov chains is done in [21]. If $\mathbb{E}\xi > 0$ and $\mathbb{E}\log(1+\eta) < \infty$, then Theorem 1 from that paper is applicable to the associated Markov chain X_n and in the case where the distribution of ξ is non-lattice we obtain that, for every fixed $h > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{\log D_n \in (x, x+h]\} \;\to\; \frac{h}{\mathbb{E}\xi} \quad \text{as } x \to \infty.$$

Let us also mention a link to the so-called Lamperti's problem which is about the limit behaviour of a Markov chain with asymptotically zero drift, that is, when $\mathbb{E}\xi(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$, it goes back to Lamperti [22]. It was proven by Denisov et al. [7, Theorem 4] that if we consider a Markov chain X_n such that

(i) $\mathbb{E}\xi(x) \sim \mu/x$ and $\mathbb{E}\xi^2(x) \to b$ as $x \to \infty$, $\mu > -b/2$,

(ii) the family $\{\xi^2(x), x\}$ possesses an integrable majorant,

(iii) $X_n \to \infty$ in probability as $n \to \infty$,

then X_n^2/n converges weakly to the Γ-distribution with mean $2\mu + b$ and variance $(2\mu + b)2b$.

If we consider an unstable perpetuity with $\mathbb{E}\xi = 0$, $\sigma^2 := \mathbb{V}ar\xi^2 < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}\log^2(1+\eta) < \infty$, then the associated Markov chain X_n for D_n satisfies the above conditions with $\mu = 0$ and $b = \sigma^2$ and hence is null-recurrent and

$$\frac{\log^2 D_n}{n} \;\Rightarrow\; \Gamma_{1/2,\, 2\sigma^2} = N^2(0, \sigma^2) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

first proven by Hitczenko and Wesołowski in [16, Theorem 3(i)].

References

[1] Aldous, D. (1989) *Probability Approximations Via the Poisson Clumping Heuristic.* Springer, New York.

[2] Basu, R., Roitershtein, A. (2013) Divergent perpetuities modulated by regime switches. *Stoch. Models* **29**, 129–148.

[3] Blanchet, J., Lam, H., Zwart, B. (2012) Efficient rare-event simulation for perpetuities. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **122**, 3361–3392.

[4] Borovkov, A. A., Korshunov, D. (2001) Large-deviation probabilities for one-dimensional Markov chains. Part 2: Prestationary distributions in the exponential case. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **45**, 379–405.

[5] Borovkov, A. A., Korshunov, D. (2002) Large-deviation probabilities for one-dimensional Markov chains. Part 3: Prestationary distributions in the subexponential case. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **46**, 603–618.

[6] Buraczewski, D., Collamore, J. F., Damek, E., Zienkiewicz, J. Large deviation estimates for exceedance times of perpetuity sequences and their dual processes. *Ann. Probab.* to appear.

[7] Denisov, D., Korshunov, D., Wachtel, V. (2013) Potential analysis for positive recurrent Markov chains with asymptotically zero drift: Power-type asymptotics. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **123**, 3027–3051.

[8] Dyszewski, P. (2016) Iterated random functions and slowly varying tails. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **126**, 392–413.

[9] Embrechts, P. and Goldie, C.M. (1994). Perpetuities and random equations. In *Asymptotic Statistics (Prague, 1993). Contrib. Statist.*, 75-86. Heidelberg: Physica.

[10] Feller, W. (1971) *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications* Vol. 2, Wiley, New York.

[11] Foss, S., Korshunov, D., Zachary, S. (2011) *An Introduction to Heavy-Tailed and Subexponential Distributions*. Springer, New York.

[12] Goldie, C. M. (1991) Implicit renewal theory and tails of solutions of random equations. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **1**, 126–166.

[13] Goldie, C. M., Grübel, R. (1996) Perpetuities with thin tails. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **28**, 463–480.

[14] Goldie, C. M., Maller, R. A. (2000) Stability of perpetuities. *Ann. Probab.* **28**, 1195–1218.

[15] Grey, D. (1994) Regular variation in the tail behaviour of solutions of random difference equations. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **4**, 169–183.

[16] Hitczenko, P., Wesołowski, J. (2011) Renorming divergent perpetuities. *Bernoulli* **17**, 880–894.

[17] Kesten, H. (1973) Random difference equations and renewal theory for products of random matrices. *Acta Math.* **131**, 207–248.

[18] Konstantinides, D.G., Mikosch, T. (2005) Large deviations and ruin probabilities for solutions to stochastic recurrence equations with heavy-tailed innovations. *Ann. Probab.* **33**, 1992–2035.

[19] Korshunov, D. (2001) Limit theorems for general Markov chains. *Sib. Math. J.* **42**, 301–316.

[20] Korshunov, D. (2004) One-dimensional asymptotically homogeneous Markov chains: Cramér transform and large deviation probabilities. *Siberian Adv. Math.* **14**, N 4, 30–70.

[21] Korshunov, D. (2008) The key renewal theorem for a transient Markov chain. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **21**, 234–245.

[22] Lamperti, J. (1962) A new class of probability limit theorems. *J. Math. Mech.* **11**, 749–772.

[23] Meyn, S., Tweedie, R. (2009) *Markov Chains and Stochastic Stability*, 2nd Ed., Cambridge Univ. Press.

[24] Rachev, S.T., Samorodnitsky, G. (1995) Limit laws for a stochastic process and random recursion arising in probabilistic modeling. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **27**, 185–202.

[25] de Saporta, B. (2005) Tail of the stationary solution of the stochastic equation $Y_{n+1} = a_n Y_n + b_n$ with Markovian coefficients. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **115**, 1954–1978.

[26] Vervaat, W. (1979) On a stochastic difference equation and a representation of nonnegative infinitely divisible random variables. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **11**, 750–783.